last, becanse of the pale tawny ground-colour of the under-side.
Fig. 6. Ahentis teltensis, muder-sile. The under-side of the hindwings shows strong mimicry of an Acrecoid ifpe.
7. Keclestes mutcomo, var., unler-sile. The under-side generally shows the incipient mimicry of an Acreoid type.

## Explanation of Plate XV.

Britisif E.ist African Butterflies Mimcking L. chrysippus.
Acrecine and Lyceenid mimics of Limacs chrysippus form Rlugiz in British East Africa. Varieties of Acreat encelon chiefly transitional towards the form duira.
All the fignres are about $\frac{9}{10}$ of the natural size.
Fig. 1. Limuct chrysippus, furm klugii of, May 22, 1900. Capturel by Mr. and Mrs. S. L. Hinle, at Machakos Roul, Pritish Eist Africa (about 5400 feet). Very faint traces of the sub-apical white band of chrilsippus can be detected.
1a. L. chrysipprs, form kilagii, under-side $q$, Oct., Nov. 1900. Collected by W. Doherty on the Kiknyu Escarpment, British East Africa ( 6500 to 9000 feet). Distinct traces of the sub-apical white band of chrysippus can be seen. Compare Fig. le, Plate XIV.
2. Minacrea dohertyi $q$, Oct., Nov. 1900. Collected in the same locality as Fig. 1a, by W. Doherty. The position and outline of the costal part of the sub-apical white bar of M. marshulli can be distinctly traced in the contour of the black markings, although the bar itself is only very faintly paler than the fulvous ground-colour of the wing. Compare Fig. 2, Plate XIV.
2a. M. dohertyi, under-side ס, Oct., Nov. 1900. Collected in the same locality as Fig. 1c, by W. Doherty. Traces of - position of white bar as in upper-side ; compare Fig $2 \mu$, Plate XIV. The black spots on the under-side of the under-wing resemble Acraac encedon (Fig. 3a) rather than the Danaine model of both insects (Fig. 1a).
3. Acriea encelon, form daira ${ }^{\circ}$, June 6, 1900. Captured by Mr. and Mrs. S. L. Hinde, at Machakos, British East Africa (about 5400 feet). Faint traces of the sub-apical trans. ent. soc. lond. 1902.-part ili. (nor.) 37
white bar of fore-wing althongh the Llack gromd-colour of the apex is almost absent. Compare Figs, $4-7$ and Fig. 3, Plate XIV.
Fig. 3a. A. encedon, form daira, muder-side ô, Oct., Nov. 1900. Collected in the same locality as Fig. la, by W. Doherty. The under-side shows the same faint traces of the subapical white bar as the upper-side. Compare Fig. 3.
4. A. enccdon, form deire ơ, Jan. 28, 1909. Captured by Mr. and Mrs. S. L. Hinde at Kitui, British East Africa (about 4000 feet). The sub-apical bar is rather more distinct and the surromding ground-colour rather darker than in Fig. 3.
5. A. encelon, intermediate between form duive and encedon of, May 18, 1900. Captured by Mrs. Leakey at Ndeje, Bnlemezi, near Mengo, Uganda. In this interesting variety the sulb-apical bar is very clearly defined by the greatly increased darkness of the adjacent ground-colour, and thus hecomes very distinct, although it is itself of a darker shade than any of the specimens represented in the three previons fignres ( $3,3 u$, and 4 ).
6. A. sucedon tending towards the form duim in the darkness of the sub-apical bar, and towards the form alcippina in the whiteness of the imer part of the hind-wing of, May 19, 1900. Captured by Mrs. Leakey in the locality described in Fig. 5. The apex of the fore-wing would be that of typical cncedon but for the darkuess of the bar. It is noteworthy that the costal spot of the bar is much lighter than any of the other four component spots. On the under-side of this specimen the bar is much lighter, although not so white as in typical encedon.
7. A. pncedon, form alcippimu of, Feb. 27 to Mareh 2, 1900. Captured by Mrs. Leakey in the locality deseribed in Fig. 5. With white, conspicnous hind-wings this variety closely resembles the form clcipnes of Limums chrysipmes. The fore-wings are as in typical encedon except that they are somewhat darker than usual, suggesting, in the inmer contour of the black pateh, an approach towarats the form lyciu.

